

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/885,758	Applicant(s) PETERSON, PAUL	
	Examiner Mark R. Milia	Art Unit 2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mark R. Milia. (3) Chris Braidwood.
 (2) Joseph R. Pokrzywa. (4) Paul Peterson.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: See Continuation Sheet.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, 26

Identification of prior art discussed: Wain et al., Gottfried et al., Kroos et al., & Danon.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted, If Yes, brief description:

Process of creating and printing a lenticular image and placing a lenticular surface onto the image to produce a composite lenticular image that produces movement and depth.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed independent claims and the language concerning the combining of the background, captured image, and foreground image. It was agreed that the addition of "lenticular" in reference to both composite image and surface would help clarify the claims.